

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,710	06/12/2006	Yong Liang	56815.1800	7173
30734 BAKER & HO	7590 01/09/2008 STETLER LLP	EXAMINER		
WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			TRAN, QUOC DUC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			•			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/582,710	LIANG, YONG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Quoc D. Tran	2614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu *Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the provision of the provisions of t	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONO, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	<u>une 2006</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	P r .				
	The drawing(s) filed on 12 June 2006 is/are: a		cted to by the Examiner.			
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	, , , ,				
- (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen	• •		·			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

10/582,710 Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 9/26/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bella (6,181,775).

Consider claims 1 and 7, Bella teaches a system and method for testing subscriber lines, comprising a broadband line testing control module and a remote terminal subscriber access control module located at a subscriber line between the broadband line testing control module

Application/Control Number:

10/582,710 Art Unit: 2614

and a remote terminal unit (see Fig. 6 and Fig. 7), wherein said broadband line testing control module sending a signal of disconnecting the subscriber line to the remote terminal subscriber access control module, and testing the subscriber line; said remote terminal subscriber access control module receiving said signal from the broadband line testing control module, and controlling the remote terminal unit to disconnect from or connect to the subscriber line based on said signal (see col. 8 lines 10-37).

Consider claims 2-3, Bella teaches the claimed features (see col. 8 lines 25-53).

Consider claims 4, 10-11, Bella teaches the claimed features (see col. 9 lines 17-28; col. 10 lines 6-11).

Consider claim 5, Bella teaches the claimed feature (see Fig. 7).

Consider claims 6 and 12, Bella inherently teaches the claimed features since a Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer (DSLAM) must be in place in order to provide and/or testing of DSL services.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bella (6,181,775) in view of Ginesi et al (2003/0063711).

Consider claims 8 and 9, Bella disclosed that the request signal may take any number of forms (see col. 3 lines 25-28). However, Bella did not specifically suggest wherein the signal is

10/582,710 Art Unit: 2614

transmitted through a message based on G994.1 protocol and sending a handshake message to the remote terminal unit prior to the step of sending a disconnecting signal of disconnecting subscribe line. However, Ginesi et al suggested such (see paragraphs 0005-0008; it should be noted that the G994.1 is one of a version of the xDSL ITU standard). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ginesi et al in order initialize communications prior allow or perform any communications (i.e., standard procedure in xDSL communications).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7511. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on (571) 272-7499.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Application/Control Number:

10/582,710 Art Unit: 2614 Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

711.2614

December 31, 2007

QUOCTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER